



भारत सरकार Government Of India
रेल मंत्रालय Ministry Of Railways
(रेलवे बोर्ड) (Railway Board)

No. 2018/CE-I/CT/AP/2

New Delhi, dated 17.04.2018

To
As per list attached

Sub: Audit Para No. 2.3 for the year 2013-14 of C&AG Report No. 13 of 2016
(Railways) regarding "Irregular award of contract".

Ref: Railway Board's letter No. 2001/CE-I/CT/3 dated 12.04.2001

Instructions regarding 'sub-sectioning of work into different tenders to expedite the work' already exist on Railways. However, in Audit Para 2.3 of C & AG Report No. 13 of 2016 regarding "Irregular award of contract", Audit has observed as under:

"The award of five contracts to a single firm, considering the eligibility criteria for one contract instead of evaluation of eligibility of firm taking all contracts as a whole, is in contravention to Railway Board's order dated 12.4.2001. This led to poor execution of work in all the contracts and these were either closed/ terminated due to default owing to contractor."

2. The matter has been examined in Board's office and it has been decided that Railways, while 'sub-sectioning the work into different tenders to expedite the work' should adhere to the provisions of Board's instruction letter under reference (copy enclosed).

DA: As above.

(अनिल कुमार)

(अनिल कुमार)

निदेशक/सिविल इंजीनियरिंग(जी)/रेलवे बोर्ड

[Phone : Rly: 030-47598, MTNL:011-23047598,

e-mail address: padcegrb@gmail.com]

Signature Not
Verified

Digitally signed by
VILAS PANDHARINATH
PAITHANKAR
Date: 2025.11.14
17:18:37 IST
Reason: IRE S-CRIS
Location: New Delhi

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS
(RAILWAY BOARD)

No. 001/CE.L/CT/3

New Delhi, dated 12.12.2007

Addressed to:

As per list attached.

Sub: Irregularities in award of work to the Contractor -
Issue of instructions.


.....

In the course of investigation of a case, certain irregularities were noticed in the award of the works to the contractors. To avoid these irregularities in future, Board(ME) has suggested following steps:

- 1) If sub-sectioning has been done with a view to expedite the work, then only one tender will be awarded to one firm. Or alternatively,
- 2) If the same firm becomes L-1 in all the sub-sections, then evaluation of the firm for its fitness for award of all the works should be done for the work as a whole. This will avoid over-loading of the firms beyond their financial capability.

The above instructions should be strictly adhered to.

Receipt of this letter may please be acknowledged.


(PARMOD KUMAR)
Exec Director, Civil Engineering (C)
Railway Board